SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		i i	DEFENDANTS	3		
JANEL MAPLE			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence	of First Listed	l Defendant	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	dress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
Tara L. Patterson, Es Kimmel & Silverman,			LANL	J INVOLVED.		
30 E. Butler Pike		ŀ	Altorneys (If Known)			
Ambler, PA 19002		_				
(215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	bu. co	CIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	1. PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
(J. 1. U.S. Government	☑ 3 Federal Question		For Diversity Cases Only)	)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and One Box for Defendant)
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen		PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	
🗇 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>Ø 4 Diversity</li> <li>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)</li> </ul>	Citizen	n of Another State	0202	Incorporated and P of Business In A	
			or Subject of a (	03 03	Foreign Nation	១៤១៤
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
O 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		RFEFTBREÆENAETLY: Agriculture		MRUPTCY at 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES
120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury	- (7) 620	Other Food & Drug	423 With	İrawal	O 400 State Reapportionment O 410 Antitrust
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	Airplane Product Med. Malpraetic     Liability		Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		SC 157	430 Banks and Banking     450 Commerce
<ul> <li>150 Recovery of Overpayment</li> <li>&amp; Enforcement of Judgment</li> </ul>		y 🗗 630	Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	PROPE	OTY-RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	CJ 650	Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten	เ้	O 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability  346 Marine PERSONAL PROPER		Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trade	mark	35 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	O 345 Marine Product O 370 Other Fraud	CJ 690	Other	222 2232 224 221 221		☐ 810 Selective Service
of Veteran's Benefits	🗇 350 Motor Vehicle 💢 380 Other Personal	CJ 710	LABOR Fair Labor Standards	O 861 HIA	SECURITY (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	S55 Motor Vehicle Property Damage     Product Liability		Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations	© 862 Black	: Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	O 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
	360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury	y (7 730	Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	(3) 890 Other Statutory Actions
REALPROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETETIO	NS® (740	& Disclusure Act Railway Labor Act	© 865 RSI (	LTAX SUITS	891 Agricultural Acts     892 Economic Stabilization Act
210 Land Condemnation     220 Foreclosure	O 441 Voting O 510 Motions to Vaca O 442 Employment Sentence		Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc.		s (U.S. Plaintiff :fendant)	O 893 Environmental Matters O 894 Energy Allocation Act
🗇 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	O 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS	-Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	######################################	IMMIGRATION		SC 7609 .	Act  900Appeal of Fee Determination
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	O 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - O 540 Mandamus & Oi Employment O 550 Civit Rights		Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus -	ол		Under Equal Access
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	n .	Alien Detainee	]		to Justice  G 950 Constitutionality of
	Other  440 Other Civil Rights		Other Immigration Actions			State Statutes
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "N" in One Box Only)			<u> </u>		Appeal to District
Ø 1 Original ☐ 2 R	emoved from	Reopo	ened snot	nsferred from ther district cify)	☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation	ici 🗊 7 Judge from
VI CAUCE OF ACTS	Cisto U.S. Civil Statut under which your	are filing (E	Oo not cite jurisdictio	nal statutes u	nless diversity):	E
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Fair Debt Collection Practices A					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	N DE	MANDS		HECK YES only URY DEMAND;	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER	
Explanation:	<u></u>			<del></del>	_	
11 hala	<i>\\\</i> <b>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>	AL LAD	On Lacia.	. ]		
7/24/11	<u> </u>	WW	runteral	<u>~</u>		
DATE	SIGNATURE C	of ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD			

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 2200 U Walnut Street, Apt 1	Harrisburg, PA 17103
Address of Defendant: 507 Prodential Road Hars	ham PA 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For Ad	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	/
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No 🗗
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:         Judge           Case Number:         Judge	Data Terminated
Judge	Date retinitated.
Civit cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	r previously terminated action in this court?
	Yest No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior surrection in this court?	it pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes No W
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier $nu$	mbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
The state of second of successive indices despes, decide second, of production in gradients	Yes No M
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)  A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Coses:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	<ol> <li>□ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts</li> </ol>
2. 🗆 FELA	2.   Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5.   Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6.   Other Personal Injury (Please
•	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. 🗆 Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. © Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. M. All other Federal Question Cases 1.5 U.S.C. 1692	(come species)
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTIF	
1. ara L. Patterson , counsel of record do hereby certify.	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and be	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	0.0001
DATE: 4 29/11 Jara L. Yatterson	<u>88343</u>
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	ithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE: 4/29/11   lara L Patterson	_ 88343
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Janel Mo	iple :	CIVIL ACTION		
Janel Mo NCO Financia	l Systems,Inc.	NO.		
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management Track we a copy on all defenda event that a defendant shall, with its first app arties, a Case Managen	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counse to Designation Form in all civil cases at the tinants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rest does not agree with the plaintiff regarding tearance, submit to the clerk of court and service the Track Designation Form specifying the total assigned.	ne of verse said /e on	
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE	MANAGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S	.C. § 2241 through § 2255.	( )	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	ired to be designated	for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )	
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ring claims for person	al injury or property damage from	( )	
commonly referred to a	s complex and that nee	nto tracks (a) through (d) that are ed special or intense management by detailed explanation of special	( )	
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall	into any one of the other tracks.	$\bowtie$	
4   29   11 Date 215-540-8888	Tara L. Patter Attorney-at-lav 877-788-289	San Janel Maple Attorney for Apatterson Corredition	<u>—</u> <u>w.(a</u> n	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

#### 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 JANEL MAPLE, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No .: v. 6 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 COMPLAINT 11 JANEL MAPLE ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN. 12 P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., ("Defendant"): 13 14 INTRODUCTION 15 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 17 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 20 21 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 22 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 23 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 24 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of 25 Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. - 1 -

- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).
- 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters
   located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044-2308.
- 9. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties.

  See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.
  - 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered

contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.

- 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

б

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. At all pertinent times hereto, Defendant was hired to collect a consumer debt and attempted to collect that debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. Beginning in 2010 and continuing through January 2011, Defendant constantly and continuously placed collection calls to Plaintiff's home telephone number seeking and demanding payment for an alleged debt owed.
- 18. Defendant contacted Plaintiff on her home telephone almost every day, causing Plaintiff to receive, at times, more than two (2) collection calls a day and at times, more than four (4) collection calls a day.
- 19. As a result of Defendant's repeated telephone calls, Plaintiff received, at times, more than ten (10) collection calls a week and at times, more than twenty (20) collection calls a month.
- 20. Defendant's sole purpose in contacting Plaintiff multiple times a day and repeatedly each week was solely to harass Plaintiff.
- 21. Most recently, on January 4, 2011, with the intent to harass and embarrass Plaintiff, Defendant contacted Plaintiff's brother in search of Plaintiff, despite the fact that Defendant had Plaintiff's home address and telephone number.
- 22. Also, Defendant left a voicemail message on Plaintiff's mother's telephone in search of Plaintiff, which was done for the sole purpose of harass and embarrassing Plaintiff.
- 23. When Plaintiff did speak with Defendant, Defendant threatened Plaintiff with legal action if immediate payment was not made.

6

9

27. abusive and highly deceptive. CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- Also, Defendant threatened to harm Plaintiff's credit report if immediate payment 24. was not made.
- To date, despite threats to the contrary, Defendant has not filed a lawsuit or taken 25. other legal action against Plaintiff; thereby indicating it did not intend to take the action previously threatened.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant sought to collect a debt from Plaintiff despite the fact that it had no knowledge of its validity.
- Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing,

- 28. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); and Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 29. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services. Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir.

2002).

1

14

12

13

16

15

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

30. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 32. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant violated §1692 generally;
  - b. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;
  - c. Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring and engaging Plaintiff in telephone conversations repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass;
  - d. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive and

misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt;

- a. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken:
- e. Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations and deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt from Plaintiff; and
- f. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failed to comply with the FDCPA.
- 33. As a direct and proximate result of one or more or all of the statutory violations above, Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JANEL MAPLE, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., for the following:

- Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Fair Debt
   Collection Practices Act,
- b. Statutory damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
   U.S.C. § 1692k,
- c. Actual damages,
- d. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection
   Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k
- e. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate,

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JANEL MAPLE, demands a jury trial in this

case.

DATED: 4/29/11

23

24

25

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

ra L. Patterson

Attorney ID # 88343

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: tpatterson@creditlaw.com